

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1227 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2020 Thana- SHEIKHOPUR SARAI District-
Sheikhpura

=====

Md. Sarfaraz @ Syed Sarfaraz Ahmad @ Md. Sarfaraz Alam @ Md. Sarfaraz Ahmad S/o Syed Sirajuddin @ Late Sirajuddin Resident of Village- Chadihari, P.S.- Sheikhpura, Dist.- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dharendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
		Ms. Soni Kumari, Advocate
		Mr. Amrit Lal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

5 24-04-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the Informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The present criminal miscellaneous application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the BNSS, 2023’) for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, who is in custody in connection with S.T. No.34 of 2023, arising out of Sheikhpura Sarai P.S. Case No. 71 of 2020, lodged on 28.09.2020, under Sections 147/148/149/448/341/323 /504 /354/307/379/506 of the I.P.C, pending in the Court



of learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.

3. Earlier bail applications of the petitioner were rejected thrice vide Cr. Misc. No.61116 of 2022 dated 22.02.2023, Cr. Mics. No.39798 of 2024 dated 31.07.2024 and Cr. Misc. No.23149 of 2025.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2022 near about four years. He submits that it is the prosecution who is unnecessarily making delayed the trial either one pretext or other.

5. Learned Counsel for the informant, on the other hand, submits that there is no delay from the part of the prosecution or the informant.

6. From the report, it transpires to this Court that the case is fixed for arguments. Both parties are directed to continue the argument and conclude the same within a period of 60 days. The Trial Court is further directed to pass final order within the said period.

7. In the aforesaid background, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

8. It is made clear that in the event of any



unnecessary delay in the proceedings, liberty is granted to the
petitioner to move afresh for bail.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

