

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91445 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Darbhanga

Raushan Kumar Son of Daya Nand Yadav Resident of Village- Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Baheri, Dist.- Darbhanga, wrongly mentioned in the FIR as Village- Trimuhani, P.S.- Baheri, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Baidyanath Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64, 74, 351(2), 115(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita 2023.

3. As per FIR, the informant who is aged about 19 years was in relationship with co-accused Santosh Kumar Yadav since last two years prior to lodging the FIR, where this petitioner alleged to advanced threat.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that co-accused Santosh Kumar Yadav alleged to commit rape upon the informant whereas the



allegation against this petitioner is to assault and abuse the informant after the occurrence. It is submitted that the maximum allegation against this petitioner is available that he alongwith co-accused Gautam Kumar advanced threat and asked to informant to talk something while she was going ahead Shankar Rohar Chowk. It is pointed out that with main accused Santosh Kumar the informant was in affairs since last two years prior to lodging FIR. Petitioner claimed clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as the allegation of rape is not available against the petitioner rather he alleged with very general and omnibus allegation as to advance threat to informant, accordingly, above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Darbhanga/concerned Court, where the



case is pending in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 92 of  
2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section  
482(2) of the BNSS.

**(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)**

Rajeev/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

