

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91305 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-364 Year-2024 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District-
Begusarai

-
1. Chandan Kumar S/O Tiro Yadav @ Piro Yadav R/O Vill.- Raghunathpur,P.S-
Sahebpur Kamal,Dist- Begusarai
 2. Chhotu Kumar S/O Tiro Yadav @ Piro Yadav R/O Vill.- Raghunathpur,P.S-
Sahebpur Kamal,Dist- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Yadav

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 12-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
the petitioner no.1 has antecedent of three cases out of which
two cases are under the Excise Act and petitioner no.2 has
antecedent of one case and the allegation is of recovery of 40
litres of liquor from near a brick-kiln
4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was



recovered from their conscious possession and are not the owner of the brick-kiln and he came to be implicated at the instance of Chaukidar. It is further submitted that if Chaukidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioners in the occurrence, then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the present F.I.R., which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that police in majority of cases implicating innocent persons either at the behest of Chaukidar, local person, secret information and confessional statement in a mechanical manner without holding proper investigation without holding a proper investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/ successor Court in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P. S. Case No.364 of 2024,



subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event, if it is found that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of more than three cases and petitioner no.2 has antecedent of more than one case, then it would be presumed that petitioners for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed their antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of three cases only and petitioner no.2 has antecedent of one case only, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

