

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1602 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-157 Year-2025 Thana- Geedha District- Bhojpur

=====

Veera Rai @ Dharmveer Rai S/O Adit Ray R/O Village- Sonhata, P.S.- Gidha,
District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gopal Govind Mishra, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Ms. Anju Kumari Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Nirmala Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Gidha P.S. Case No. 157 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 118(2), 351(2), 352 of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 4 & 6 of the POCSO Act.

3.The case of the prosecution in short is that the co-villagers, namely Jitendra Rai and Subhash Rai, were committing forceful carnal intercourse with a minor boy. When the informant attempted to rescue the victim, both the accused persons started fleeing away. It is alleged that the petitioner, along with others, has helped the miscreants in fleeing away and



that they have also assaulted.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this case is in two parts: (i) where the main assailants, Jitendra Rai and Subhash Rai, have committed carnal intercourse with a minor boy and (ii) when they were fleeing away, the petitioner and others helped them in fleeing away. He also submits that the occurrence is of 01.08.2025, whereas the case has been filed on 03.08.2025, and there is no explanation of delay. He further submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 28.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by the learned counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of two case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Exclusive Special Court of POCSO Act-cum-District Additional
Sessions Judge-VI, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Gidha
P.S. Case No. 157 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

