

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.662 of 2026

=====

Kumkum Ranjan, Wife of Umesh Ranjan, Resident of Ward No. 5, Nirmali, Anchal-Nirmali, P.S.-Nirmali, District-Supaul, at present residing at Bahadurpur Housing Colony, Kankarbagh, P.S.-Agam Kuwan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Land Reform, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Supaul.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Supaul.
4. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nirmali, District-Supaul.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Nirmali, District-Supaul.
6. The Circle Officer, Nirmali, District-Supaul.
7. The S.H.O. Nirmali Police Station, District-Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dileep Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 22-01-2026 This writ petition, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, has been filed by the petitioner Kumkum Ranjan for the following relief(s):-

“1. That by this Public Interest Litigation (PIL) writ application the petitioner craves indulgence of this hon'ble court for issuance of writ of mandamus for directing and commanding upon the respondent authorities to remove the encroachment according to rule made by the encroacher on the main road between Ward no. 5 and



Ward no. 8 of Nirmali, District- Supaul, which is not done by local authority while removing encroachment on both side of main road from P.S. and Anchal Nirmali office to Hatiya Chalk due to influence of mediator and/or for issuance of appropriate writ or direction for which your lordship may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case stated hereinafter.”

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the relief sought for can be addressed under the provisions of the Bihar Public Land Encroachment Act, 1956, he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition to approach the concerned authorities.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(Sangam Kumar Sahoo, CJ)

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

