

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89981 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-223 Year-2025 Thana- KORHA District- Katihar

Jyotish Kumar Ranjan Son of Gopal Mandal Resident of Village- Pachma
Ward No. 12, Pachma Milik Marwa, P.S.- Korha, District- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Rekha Prasad Ms. Kumari Priyanka Ms. Anamika Priyadarshi
For the State	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 5 06-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Korha Police Station Case No. 223 of 2025, dated 20.09.2025, disclosing offences under Sections 126(2)/351(2)/352 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.
3. That the prosecution case, as per the FIR, is that the informant had lent Rs. 97,000/- to the petitioner and upon demand of repayment, he refused and threatened him with dire consequences. It is alleged that on 19.09.2025 at about 08:30 A.M., the informant stopped the petitioner



and asked his money back, the petitioner abused the informant and threatened to kill him within 24 hours. It is further alleged that on the same day at about 11:30 P.M., the petitioner, along with other accused persons, came near the informant's house and opened fire with intention to kill and upon alarm being raised they fled away. Subsequently, on information by the informant, the police reached at the place of occurrence and recovered three empty cartridges. It has also been alleged that the petitioner and other returned and open fired again on the same night.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence in the manner alleged. She next submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to monetary dispute on the basis of concocted story. She further submits that the present case has been lodged by the informant to save his own skin from loot of Rs. 9 Lakh for which FIR, bearing no. Korha Police Station Case No. 220 of 2025, has been lodged by the petitioner against the informant and others, which is prior in time to the present FIR. The petitioner, though named in other



cases, but the same have been lodged by the side of the informant.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant and the State, vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner has concealed another criminal antecedent having Barari Police Station Case No. 339 of 2017. Referring to the seizure list, learned counsel submits that three used cartridges have been recovered from the place of occurrence. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is habitual offender and is in habit of carrying illegal arms (pistol), which would be evident from Annexure-2 series annexed to the counter affidavit.
6. In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner submits in the criminal antecedent, bearing Barari Police Station Case No. 339 of 2017, as referred above, the petitioner was not named in the FIR rather his name transpired on confessional statement. With regard to the alleged possession of illegal arms, it is submitted that a valid license shall be produced at the time of hearing.
7. Regards being had to the submissions made by the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation,



recovery of used cartridges from place of occurrence and criminal antecedents of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

8. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

