

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2113 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-368 Year-2025 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

Subhash Choubey, Son of Late Hridyanand Choubey, Resident of Village -
Bahera, P.S. Karagahar, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dehari
(Nagar) P.S. Case No. 368 of 2025 dated 08.08.2025 registered for
the offence punishable under Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that a quarrel
took place between him and the *Mahanth* of the temple on account
of some money for worshipping and the *Mahanth* of the temple was
abused and assaulted by the petitioner leading to his death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case.
It is submitted that it is apparent from the post-mortem report that
only one injury was found on the head of the deceased and there is
no repetition of assault on the head. The petitioner is in custody
since 27.09.2025, having no criminal antecedent and charge-sheet
has been submitted in the case.



5. Learned A.P.P for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that *Mahanth* of the temple was abused and assaulted by the petitioner due to which he sustained injury which resulted into his death.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is **rejected**.

8. The learned Trial Court is directed to take all endeavour to conclude the trial at the earliest, preferably, within nine months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order without granting any unnecessary adjournment. If the trial is not concluded within nine months, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his bail application after nine months.

9. This application stands dismissed.

(Khatim Reza, J)

prabhat/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

