

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91521 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-558 Year-2025 Thana- HILSA District- Nalanda

=====

Vilas Ravidas @ Vilash Ravidas S/o Late Jhopari Das @ Jhopadi Ravidas
Resident of Village - Bhokilapar, P.S. - Hilsa, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shyamal Prakash, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Ms. Kumari Sujata Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 Heard Mr. Shyamal Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Kumari Sujata Sinha, learned counsel for the Informant and Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 19.08.2025, in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 558 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 17.08.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. 2023 and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture and caused death due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has been made accused merely on the ground that he is father-in-law of the deceased. From perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt or demand of dowry attributed against the petitioner in the F.I.R. and husband of the deceased who happens to be son of the petitioner is in judicial custody and petitioner is an aged about 73 years of old and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 19.08.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the Informant, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and there is no specific allegation against him in the F.I.R., let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hilsa, Nalanda in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 558 of 2025, subject to the



following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

