

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90932 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

=====

Aditya Kumar @ Bambam Kumar S/o- Vidyanand Singh Resident of Village-
Balahpur PS- Nayagawn District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 12-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery
of 100 ml of liquor from house of one Dr. Umesh Kumar and Bam
Bam Singh (petitioner). It is next submitted that petitioner was not
arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his
conscious possession and the house in question does not belong to
the petitioner rather petitioner is residing as a tenant but then
police in a mechanical manner implicated the petitioner at the
instance of local person without holding a proper investigation.
4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Town P.S. Case No.144/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

