

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91254 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2025 Thana- KARJA District- Muzaffarpur

1. Lakhindra Das S/o- Late Satya Narayan Das @ Satendra Das Resident of Village- Bathna Dih PS- Karja Dist-Muzaffarpur
2. Raj Kishor Das S/o- Late Santu Das @ Santu Dar Resident of Village- Bathna Dih PS- Karja Dist-Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satish Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners
and learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioners, who are in custody, seek bail in connection with Karja P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.NS.

3. The allegation against the petitioners are that they assaulted the informant and others by iron rod and lathi and caused grievous injury.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the present petitioners have falsely been



implicated in the present case with false and concocted story. It has been submitted that on account of such incident, case and counter case were lodged by both the parties and it was the informant who was the aggressor and has objected to peaceful possession of the petitioners on their land. It has next been submitted that the police after investigation has submitted charge sheet in the cases lodged by the petitioners as well as the informant. It has further been submitted that the petitioner and the informant are at loggerheads over a certain piece of land and even earlier in the year of 2024, during the measurement of the land of petitioner no. 2, the informant had brutally assaulted the petitioner and two family members, for which a case was lodged earlier. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners carry clean antecedent and they are in custody since 11.09.2025.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submission, facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Karja P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative and the other shall be local resident.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U			
---	--	--	--

