

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90020 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2025 Thana- ISUAPUR District- Saran

Ranjit Kumar Tiwary S/O Rajesh Tiwari Resident of Bari Raibhan, P.S.-  
Hathua, Dist.- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar Patna
- 2. Gaytri Devi W/O Jitendra Tiwary R/O Vill.- Nethua, P.S.- Gaura, Dist.- Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                  |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.N.K.Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate<br>Mr.Kamala Kant Tiwary, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP                                       |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr.Udai Shankar Singh, Advocate                                  |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      24-03-2026                      Heard Mr. N.K. Aggarwal, learned Senior Counsel for  
  
the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned  
  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Isuapur  
P.S. Case No. 56/2025 registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 66A, 67A of the I.T. Act and Section 12 of the POCSO  
Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, Informant Gayatri Devi's  
daughter Khushboo Kumari created a Facebook profile, which  
accused Ranjit Kumar Tiwary (petitioner) used to befriend her and  
obtain mobile numbers. He allegedly demanded personal pictures  
via WhatsApp, used abusive language, and threatened to circulate



her private pictures on social media.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case. Learned counsel further submits that he has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 24.09.2025. The petitioner undertakes to co-operate in the case/ trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and learned counsel for the informant has produced a complaint dated 21.01.2026 submitted by the mother of the victim to the S.H.O. of Gaura P.S. in which it has been said that the petitioner is threatening the prosecution from the jail.

6. Considering the facts of the case and the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed with direction to the court below to expedite the trial and if it is being delayed by the prosecution, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

tusharika/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

