

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.464 of 2026

-
1. Mukesh Kumar S/o Ram Chandra Mahto, R/o Vill - East Purauna, P.S. Purauna, Dis - Sitamarhi.
 2. Umesh Mahto S/o Ram Chandra Mahto, R/o Vill - East Purauna, P.S. Purauna, Dis - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Collector, Sitamarhi.
2. The District Collector, Sitamarhi, Dist Sitamarhi.
3. The Additional Collector, Sitamarhi, Dist Sitamarhi.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sitamarhi, Dist Sitamarhi.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Sonbarsa, Dis Sitamarhi.
6. The Halka Karamchari Anchal, Sonbarsa, Dist Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Bihari Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (08)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 06-07-2026 Heard Mr. Brij Bihari Tiwary, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for
following relief/s:

*“i. In the nature of certiorari for
setting aside the order dated 03.12.2025
passed in Mutation case No. 1153/2025-
2026 by the Circle Officer, Sonebarsa
whereby and where under the Mutation
application of the petitioner has been
rejected.*

ii. In the nature of mandamus



directing and commanding the respondent authorities to enter the name of the petitioners in mutation records in view of directions passed by the learned D.C.L.R. Sitamarhi, Sadar vide order dated 01.02.2025 in Mutation Appeal No. 1001/2024-25 and in view of order dated 26.08.1995 passed in T.S No. 52/ 1986, 21/1995 learned Sub Judge, III, Sitamarhi.

iii. In the nature of mandamus directing and commanding Particularly the Collector, Sitamarhi (Respondent 2) to take action against the Circle Officer, Sonbarsa who his intentionally harassing the petitioners and rejecting the claim of mutation repeatedly.

iv. For issuance of any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioners are entitled for in the fact and circumstances of the instant case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sitamarhi (respondent no. 4) for the redressal of grievance.

4. If such petition is preferred in next four weeks, the DCLR, Sitamarhi shall be taking up the petition and after noticing/hearing all the parties, it is expected that the same will



be taken to its logical conclusion by a comprehensive/reasoned order. Limitation petition, if any, has to be condoned in view of fact that the petitioner was pursuing remedy before this Court.

5. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

