

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91424 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-369 Year-2025 Thana- DIDARGANJ District- Patna

Vishavjit Singh @ Mantu Singh @ Bishwajit Singh son of Vishwanath Singh  
Resident Of Nakhash Mangal, Akhara, Ps -Sampatchak, District -Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raju Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-01-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32(2), 32(3), 36, 41(1) and 41(2) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 7555.68 litres of liquor from an auto. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized auto but then is owner of the godown but the godown was given on rent to Amarjeet Kumar. It is thus, submitted that police in mechanical manner investigates and



implicates without holding a proper investigation.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bond in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Court of Excise, Patna City, Patna in connection with Didarganj P.S. Case No.369 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. The application stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bond of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that



petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the  
provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

*Sanjay/-*

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

