

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91427 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-198 Year-2025 Thana- RAHIKA District- Madhubani

1. Vibha Devi W/o Raj Kishor Yadav Resident of village- Satlakha, Ward No 11, Ps- Rahika, District- Madhubani
2. Raj Kishor Yadav S/o Late Mishri Yadav Resident of village- Satlakha, Ward No 11, Ps- Rahika, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the informant	:	Ms. Nitu Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Asha Devi, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Rahika P.S. Case No. 198 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74 and 110 of BNS, 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, as per fardbeyan of informant Anil Yadav, is that on 11.08.2025 at about 20:25 hours, he was at his home and went to his brother to ask for a share in his ancestral land. Thereafter, his brother Raj Kishor Yadav (petitioner) and sister-in-law Vibha Devi (petitioner) told the informant that there is no share of him and when he told the



petitioners that he will call a panchayat for partition, petitioner/accused Raj Kishor Yadav assaulted him repeatedly by means of farsa on his head due to which he sustained head injury. When Rita Devi wife of the informant came to save him, then petitioner/accused Raj Kishore Yadav pushed her down and petitioner/accused Vibha Devi assaulted her by means of club.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent. The entire prosecution story is false, fabricated and concocted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no occurrence as alleged has ever taken place rather it was prosecution party who have committed offence with the petitioners. It is further submitted that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case due to dirty village politics.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the fact that there is a specific allegation of assault by means of farsa and club against the petitioners and also given the fact that the learned court below has taken note of paragraph no. 33 of the case diary in which the nature of injury has been shown to be injurious to life caused by sharp weapon. Under these circumstances, the Court is not



inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

