

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91515 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-573 Year-2025 Thana- SIWAN MUFFASIL District- Siwan

Raja Ansari S/o- Md. Sahabuddin @ Sahabuddin Ansari, R/v- Makriyar, PS-  
Siwan Muffasil, Dist- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

|                    |   |                               |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner | : | Ms. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate |
| For the State      | : | Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP  |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      24-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Siwan Muffasil P.S. Case No. 573 of 2025 dated 30.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Section 80(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioner was married to the daughter of the informant on 10.05.2025. The allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons is that they used to torture the daughter of informant on account of demand of a motorcycle as dowry. Allegedly, on 29.07.2025, informant received intimation on his mobile phone regarding serious condition of his daughter and when he went to her matrimonial home, he found her dead.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Informant was immediately intimated about the serious condition of his daughter and the mobile number given in the FIR belongs to the sister of the informant. It was the petitioner who informed the police, and it has been wrongly mentioned that informant gave any information to the police by dialling 112. From the FIR, it is also apparent that no effort was made to dispose of the dead body. The *post-mortem* report falsifies the allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons as only a ligature mark was found on the body of the deceased and no external injury was found. Learned counsel further submits that the cause of death was said to be asphyxia caused by hanging. It appears that the deceased committed suicide for reasons known to her. When the mobile phone of the deceased was taken by the investigating officer, no CDR was obtained and an application was filed before the learned trial Court in this regard. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 31.07.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State



opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that daughter of the informant died in her matrimonial home within a few months of marriage.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of material against the petitioner and also considering the *post-mortem* report and further considering clean antecedent of the petitioner, his period of custody and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Siwan / concerned Court, in connection with **Siwan Muffasil P.S. Case No. 573 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

*(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.*

*(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.*

*(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates*



*or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the  
petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.*

**(Arun Kumar Jha, J)**

Shahnawaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

