

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4169 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1692 of 2024

=====

Ram Naresh Mandal Son of Subh Narayan Mandal, Resident of Village-
Mohanpur, Ward No. - 09, Now Ward No.- 30, P.O. - Bariyarpur, P.S. and
District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Agriculture Department New Secretariate, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Nitin Kumar Singh, the Director, Agriculture Department, New Secretariate, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Raj Kumar, the Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. Mr. Subrat Kumar Sen, the District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
5. Mr. Sudhir Kumar, the District Agriculture Officer, Muzaffarpur.
6. Mr. Sanjay Kumar, the Additional Collector, Muzaffarpur.
7. Mr. Binod Kumar Tiwary, the Senior District Accountant Officer, Muzaffarpur.
8. Mr. Santosh Kumar, the Accountant General, Bir Chandra Patel Path, Bihar, Patna.
9. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ray, the Block Development Officer, Gayghat Block, Muzaffarpur.
10. Mr. Abhishek Kumar Jha, the Block Agriculture Officer, Gayghat Block, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr .Surya Kant Mishra, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Jawed Gaffar Khan, AC to GP 24
For the AG	:	Mr. Amarshakti, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 03-07-2026

Heard Mr. Surya Kant Mishra, learned Advocate for
the petitioner and Mr. Jawed Gaffar Khan, learned Advocate for
the State. Learned Advocate for the Accountant General is also
present.



2. The instant application has been filed, seeking initiation of a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for their wilful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 16.01.2025 passed in CWJC No. 1692 of 2025, whereby the Court while directing the District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass a reasoned and speaking order, observed that if the claim of the petitioner for interest over the delayed payment finds favour, necessary payment shall be made within the period stipulated.

3. A show cause affidavit has been filed bringing on record the detailed reasoned order passed by the District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur as contained in Memo No. 506 dated 14.03.2026. It is categorically submitted that on being found no delay on the part of the concerned opposite parties, the claim for statutory interest on the delayed payment has been rejected.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the claim of the petitioner for interest over the delayed payment was required to be considered in the given facts and circumstances of this case, but the same has not been done and as such it is not the compliance of the order of this Court, in its letter and spirit.

5. Be that as it may, considering the fact that the



detailed reasoned order has been passed negating the claim of the petitioner, this Court does not find any reason to continue with the present proceeding. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands closed, with liberty to the petitioner to assail the order if he feels aggrieved.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	06.07.2026
Transmission Date	

