

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89880 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2024 Thana- SIGAUDI District- Patna

1. Ravi Raushan @ Ravi Raushan Kumar Son of Sanjay Yadav Resident of Village - Karauti, P.S.- Sigori, District - Patna.
2. Rahul Kumar Son of Sanjay Yadav Resident of Village - Karauti, P.S.- Sigori, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sigori P.S. Case No.147 of 2024, dated 10.11.2024 case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 126(2), 109, 303(2), 76, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the FIR, on account of a dispute over drainage, the petitioners and other co-accused persons allegedly attacked the informant. Petitioner No. 1 is alleged to have fired from a pistol; however, the same misfired. Petitioner No. 2 is alleged to have assaulted the informant with an iron rod. When the wife of the informant intervened, she was also assaulted; her clothes were torn, and her gold chain was allegedly snatched by the accused persons.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that both the sides are agnates and there is a case and counter case between the parties. It is the case of the petitioners that after an FIR was lodged by the sister of the petitioner against the informant and others, the present case has been instituted by the informant as a counterblast and as a cover-up. It is next submitted that during the course of the alleged occurrence, the informant's sister is said to have sustained head injuries; however, the same are simple in nature. It is further submitted that although the allegation against Petitioner No. 1 is that he fired from a pistol and the same misfired, but no offence under the Arms Act has been invoked in the present case. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Taking into account that the injuries sustained by the injured is simple in nature and the petitioners have no criminal antecedent, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Danapur, Patna/Successor Court in connection with Sigori P.S. Case No.147 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/ known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/ personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

