

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91749 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2025 Thana- BHADAUR District- Patna

Raghunath Singh S/O Shankar Singh @ Shankar Prasad Sharma R/O Vill.-
Bakma, P.S- Bhadaur, Dist- Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Richa, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mrs. Kajal Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 03-04-2026 Heard Ms. Richa, learned counsel for the
petitioner, Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State as well as Mr. Purushottam Kumar,
learned counsel for the Informant.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 08.07.2025 in connection with Bhadaur P.S. Case No. 28 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 12.03.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that the petitioner along with other accused persons in conspiracy killed on Santosh Singh, who is son of Dinesh Singh (family friend of



the informant).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. Petitioner is named in the FIR and co-accused, Mantu Yadav @ Mantu Kumar had also disclosed that that the petitioner was involved in the present crime in question and the said Mantu Yadav @ Mantu Kumar has been granted the privilege of bail by this Court vide order dated 17.01.2026 in Cr. Misc. No. 83335 of 2025.. It appears from FIR that alleged date of occurrence is on 11.03.2025 but on the said date, petitioner was in judicial custody in connection with Session Trial No. 560 of 2017 which was pending before ADSJ-V, Barh. Shee further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 08.07.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries seventeen criminal antecedents other than the present one in which he has been acquitted in three cases and in thirteen cases, he is on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



as well as the fact that on the alleged date of occurrence, the petitioner was in judicial custody and the co-accused who has disclosed the name of the petitioner has been granted the privilege of bail by this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Barh in connection with Bhadaur P.S. Case No. 28 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

