

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2320 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2024 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

=====

Vinaka Devi W/o Gautam Dom @ Mantu R/o Village - Sabuni Pokhara, ward
no. 13, P.S.- Ramnagar, Distt.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogendra Tiwari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Arun
Kumar Pandey, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Ramnagar P.S. Case No.195 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 07.05.2024,
Gautam Dom along with his wife Vinaka Devi (petitioner) came
to the house of the informant and alleged that his son Sameer
Dom has fled away with the daughter of Gautam Dom, namely,
Puja Kumari and that they will take away Anchal Kumari until
Puja Kumari is back home. When the informant objected then
the petitioner along with 14 persons pressed the neck of the
informant's daughter and killed her.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. During course of investigation in para-32, one Ruksana Devi has stated that Gautam, Manohar and Rahul pulled the deceased to the house of Gautam Dom where Gautam Dom assaulted and pressed her neck due to which she died. From perusal of the F.I.R. itself it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. There is no allegation of any specific overt act against the petitioner and from perusal of the diary also it transpires that if there is any direct allegation then that is against Gautam. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide Cr. Misc No. 84748 of 2024 and by learned Co-ordinate Bench vide Cr. Misc Nos. 26107 of 2025 and 39909 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a lady having no criminal antecedent and is in judicial custody since 04.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.



6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 195 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

