

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89902 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Bhagalpur

Pappu Singh @ Pramod Singh S/o Late Shyamsunder Singh R/V- Navtolia,
Latra, PS- Gopalpur, Dist- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Gopalpur
P.S. Case No. 16 of 2024, Sessions Trial No. 496 of 2024
instituted for the offences under Sections 341, 307, 302, 506, 34
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier vide order dated 30.04.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 5709 of 2025 regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court considering the stage of the trial, nature of
accusation and gravity of offence. However, the liberty was
granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail if the trial is



not concluded within a period of six months.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 11.01.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Learned counsel further submitted that out of total 14 prosecution witnesses, only 9 have been examined till date.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail. Learned APP for the State relied upon a decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court reported in **2024 SCC OnLine SC 3539 (X. vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)**, wherein in paragraph no. 14, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held as under:

“14. Ordinarily in serious offences like rape, murder, dacoity, etc., once the trial commences and the prosecution starts examining its witnesses, the Court, be it the Trial Court or the High Court should be loath in entertaining the bail application of the accused.”

6. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner as also the trial is at the advanced stage.

7. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously



preferably within a period of three months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of three months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

