

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90815 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2025 Thana- DAWATH District- Rohtas

Shubham Ram Son of Late Dashai Ram R/o Village - Chatra, Police Station -
Dawath, District - Rohtas. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 Heard Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
11.06.2025 in connection with Dawath P.S. Case No. 183 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 10.06.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 1115(2), 126(2), 127(2), 109,
308(3), 74, 303(2), 324(4), 351(2) and 352 of the BNS, 2023
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including this petitioner attacked on the informant's
hotel and damaged the same. It is further alleged that they
assaulted the informant and his wife and son and looted cash
from the counter and also gave threatening of dire consequences
if they fail to pay Rangdari of Rs. 50,000/- .

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. From perusal of the F.I.R it appears that altogether 14 named and 25-30 unknown persons have attacked on the hotel of the informant and also damaged the hotel and vehicles of the informant. He further submits that in fact, the real story is otherwise. The owner of the hotel in question has assaulted the villagers and in turn the villagers have attacked on the hotel. As far as the allegation against the petitioner is concerned, there is no specific allegation of assault against him rather the only allegation against him is that he along with Bittu Kumar took Rs. 60-70 thousand from the counter of the hotel. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and in the background of another case lodged by the police i.e, Dawath P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on



furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M, Bikramganj, Rohtas in connection with Dawath P.S. Case No. 183 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

