

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.760 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2019 Thana- DARBHANGA District- Darbhanga

Parshotam Singh S/O Deo Raj Resident of Plot No. 19, New M.S. Block,  
Mohan Garden, P.S.- Mohan Garden, District- Dwarka, New Delhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saurav Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Indu Kumari Srivastava

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      15-01-2026              1.    Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2.    The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the  
Bihar Excise Act.

3.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of  
recovery of 144 litres of liquor from a vehicle.

4.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and he had sold the  
vehicle to one Vijay Kumar Bharti much prior to the occurrence  
and from 29 and 30 under the Motor Vehicle Act was also  
applied online and the same was accepted by the Transport



Department, Government of Delhi, as would manifest from Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application. It is further submitted that police in a mechanical manner implicates without investigating the case in its correct perspective.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 48 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a



person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional  
anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

