

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91577 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2025 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Gopalganj

1. Daulat Ansari Son of Israyal Miya R/O Village - Rampur Jaga, P.S.- Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.
2. Ashique Ansari @ Asif Ansari Son of Israyal Miya @ Israyal Ansari R/O Village - Rampur Jaga, P.S.- Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.
3. Afroja Khatoon Daughter of Daulat Ansari R/O Village - Rampur Jaga, P.S.- Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.
4. Sarwari Khatoon Daughter of Daulat Ansari R/O Village - Rampur Jaga, P.S.- Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.
5. Sogra Khatoon Daughter of Daulat Ansari R/O Village - Rampur Jaga, P.S.- Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.
6. Gulsan Khatoon @ Gulsan @ Gulsan Bibi Wife of Daulat Ansari R/O Village - Rampur Jaga, P.S.- Gopalpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ahmad Ali, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Akshay Ashish, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, informant and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 118(2), 109, 74, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. It is alleged that petitioner no. 1 hit on the back of informant with iron rod due to which he sustained injury, thereafter, all the accused persons abused and assaulted



informant and others.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that specific accusation of assault is against petitioner No. 1. So far rest of the petitioners are concerned, there is no specific allegation of any overt act against them. Injuries attributed to petitioner nos. 2 to 6 are simple in nature. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that petitioner No. 1 assaulted on the back of informant with iron rod.

6. Considering the specific and direct accusation of assault against petitioner no. 1, **prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner No. 1 is refused.**

7. However, considering the nature of accusation against petitioner Nos. 2 to 6 and clean antecedent, the **anticipatory bail with regard to petitioner Nos. 2 to 6 is allowed** and it is ordered that the above named petitioner Nos. 2 to 6 in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gopalganj in connection with
Gopalpur P. S. Case No. 222 of 2025, subject to condition as
laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik
Suraksha Sanhita.

8. This application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

