

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.104 of 2026

Rajnish Kumar Son of Sri Baleshwar Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Ward No. 56 of Patna Municipal Corporation, Police Station- Agam Kuan, District- Patna- 800007.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Land Acquisition, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Patna.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.
5. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Patna City, Patna.
6. The Circle Officer, Sadar, Patna.
7. The Delhi Metro Rail Corporation Ltd. through its Managing Director, New Delhi.
8. The Patna Metro Rail Corporation Ltd. through its Managing Director, Indira Bhawan, West Boring Canal Road, Patna.
9. Quality Builcon Pvt. Ltd. through its authorized Signatory, 7-Anupam Arcad 3rd Floor, Mayur Vihar, Phase- I, Extn. New Delhi- 110091.
10. Md. Anas Shabbir, Project Manager, Quality Builcon Pvt. Ltd. 7-Anupam Arcad 3rd Floor, Mayur Vihar, Phase-I, Extn. New Delhi- 110091.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Abhishek, Advocate
	:	Mr. Venkatesh Kaushik, Advocate
	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Advcoate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader (19)
	:	Mr. Anukriti Jaipuriyar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Rajni Kant Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

“(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature



of mandamus directing the respondent to handover the household belongings to the petitioner which was kept by Respondent Nos.9 and 10 at the time of demolition of his house situated at Mauza-Pahari, District-Patna over Khata No.347, Plot No.1639 which was acquired for construction of Patna Metrol Rail Depot.

(ii) for direction to the respondent to determine the value of the things attached to the building in question as provided Under Section 29 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Re-settlement Act, 2013. The details of which was provided by the petitioner to the respondents.

(iii) for direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation/application filed by the petitioner on 20.12.2024 giving details of his house hold belonging and things attached to the building and to release the same with suitable compensation without further delay.



(iv) to grant any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is legally entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a fresh petition shall be preferred before the respondent no.3, the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Patna in next four weeks.

4. This Court has gone through the facts of the case and the submission put forward by the parties and is of the opinion that if such petition is preferred, the Collector, Patna is duty bound to look into the matter and after issuance of notice to all the parties, a decision has to be taken.

5. Needless to add, if the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the officials are duty bound to return the materials which belongs to him.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

