

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90384 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2025 Thana- KUCHAIKOTE District- Gopalganj

Vikki Yadav. Son of Harihar Yadav. Resident of Village - Rampur Bnagara,
P.S.- Tareya, Sujan, District - Kushinagar, Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prasoon Shekhar, Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Kuchaikote (Gopalganj) P.S. Case no.337 of 2025 registered under sections 420, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that she had taken her daughter for opening an account. There she had given her daughter's mobile number to the CSP operator to link the same with the account, however another mobile number was linked to her daughter's account and as a result of which illegal withdrawals were being made by the accused persons including the petitioner herein. During this period, the informant states that her daughter received a communication



from the Cyber Nodal Police Station Division of Madhya Pradesh and thereafter on making inquiries it transpired as to what all had taken place. On going to the CSP, the informant states that the accused persons started to abuse and threaten to assault her and her daughter. They somehow escaped.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only for the reason that the CSP referred to by the informant in the F.I.R. is being run by his brother. The allegations are all false and concocted. No offence has been committed by this petitioner who is in custody since 31.7.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. The prayer for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State who referring to the order of the learned trial Court submits that the petitioner got his mobile number linked to the bank account of the informant's daughter and started committing illegal transactions from her account. On the petitioner being caught, 83 Aadhar Cards and three PAN cards of different persons were recovered from the CSP. Substantial material has transpired in course of investigation to connect the petitioner with cyber fraud.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R. being one of online cyber fraud and the material that has transpired in course of investigation, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after six months or on framing of charge, whichever is later.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

