

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89007 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

Munna Mahto @ Munna Kumar S/O Ashok Mahto Resident Of Village-
Milki (Milkipar), P.S- Bikram, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 89266 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

Chhotu Mahto @ Senu Kumar @ Sonu Kumar S/o Ashok Mahto R/o Village-
Milki (Milkipar), P.S- Bikram, Dist- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 89007 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash Dwivedi
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Asha Kumari
Mr. Kuldeep Kumar
Mr. Jitendra Kumar
Mr. Mithilesh Kr. Arya
Mr. Ghanshyam Tiwary
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 89266 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash Dwivedi
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Asha Kumari
Mr. Kuldeep Kumar
Mr. Jitendra Kumar
Mr. Mithilesh Kr. Arya
Mr. Ghanshyam Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 12-05-2026 These cases arise out of the same P.S. Case i.e.,

Bikram P.S. Case No. 407 of 2024; therefore, they are being

heard together and disposed of by a common order.



2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

3. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 407 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

4. The allegation against the petitioners and other co-accused persons is that they brutally assaulted the informant's father with bricks, knife, etc., resulting in his death over a trivial dispute.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. They are in custody since 08.08.2025 and have no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioners. The learned counsel for the informant has given an undertaking that the informant will cooperate in the trial and will produce all the witnesses in the trial.

7. Considering the nature and seriousness of the allegations, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.



8. Accordingly, both these bail applications stand dismissed with a direction to the Court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same within one year.

9. If the trial is delayed by the prosecution, the petitioners may renew their prayer for bail.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

