

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91232 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2025 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

Pappu Kumar @ Pappu Kumar Sahani S/o Dinanath Sahani Resident of
Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.- Turkauliya District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prateek Tandon

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Harsidhi P.S. Case No. 10 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case based upon the written report alleges that in compliance with the order of this Court passed in CWJC No. 15459 of 2014, an enquiry was conducted by the Vigilance and in course of enquiry, the TET certificate produced by the petitioner at the time of selection has been found to be forged and fabricated, which led to institution of the present case.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that



at no point of time the petitioner had been given any opportunity to rebut the allegation; moreover the identically situated persons, on being aggrieved with the order passed by the Department, have approached this Court in CWJC No. 8723 of 2019 and in their cases, they have been allowed to continue to discharge their duties but discrimination has been caused to the petitioner. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, on instruction, submits before this Court that the petitioner is willing to tender his resignation within a period of two weeks from today and participate in the investigation and the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioner has obtained his appointment by playing a fraud upon the Department and, as such, he does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the undertaking of the petitioner that he intends to tender his resignation within fortnight and shall cooperate in the investigation, besides the fact the appointment took place much earlier after proper verification of the certificate, let the petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within



a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Harsidhi P.S. Case No. 10 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further conditions:

(i) that the petitioner shall submit the copy of resignation letter at the time of furnishing bail bond and,

(ii) that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

