

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89114 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2024 Thana- Bankebazar District- Gaya

1. Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjeet Prasad S/o Ganesh Prasad
2. Guddu Kumar S/o Ganesh Prasad
3. Reshmi Devi W/o Guddu Kumar
All R/o Village - Bechubigha Duari, P.S - Bankebazar, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2026 Heard Mr.Arvind Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Banke Bazar P.S.Case No.38 of 2024, FIR dated 02.05.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147,148,149,341,323,308,354,379,504 of IPC.

3. As per the prosecution case, informant and her husband was at her home on 02-05-2024 and they were taking lunch in the meantime Ranjeet Kumar, Guddu Kumar, Premlata Devi, Reshmi Devi and 4-5 other came at her home and they began to assault upon her husband with axe & iron rod and



when she went to save him in the meantime they pointed pistol to her and accused Mathura pushed her daughter and they also assaulted upon her with iron rod due to which she became unconscious, thereafter injured persons were admitted to the hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have clean antecedent. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. The present case is counter blast of Bankebazar P.S.Case No.36 of 2024 filed by petitioner No.2 against the informant and her family members. From a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that although the petitioners are named in the FIR but there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt-act attributed against them. There is specific allegation against co-accused person, namely, Mathura Prasad that he pushed the daughter of the informant due to which informant side has received the injury but the injury report of the informant side suggests that all the injuries inflicted upon the injured persons are simple in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioners have clean antecedent, there is case and counter case and injury inflicted upon the injured persons are simple in nature, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sherghati, Gayaji in connection with Banke Bazar P.S. Case No.38 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall



verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

