

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89028 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-274 Year-2024 Thana- BAKHTIYARPUR District- Patna

Rakesh Kumar S/o Indradeo Prasad @ Indradev Lal Resident of Village- Badi Chharaiari, P.S.- Tharthari, District- Nalanda. At present residing - Bhutnath Road Bahadpur Housing Colony Kabir Park, P.S.- Agamkuan, Dist.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No. 274 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 302, 201, 120B & 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected on 09.04.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 1521 of 2025 which reads as follows:-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State; Sri Jharkhandi Upadhyay.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No. 274 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 302, 201, 120B & 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Initially the FIR was registered



after the recovery of the dead-body of a unknown person subsequently the dead-body was identified and during investigation the petitioner and one more person was seen going along with the deceased thereafter the petitioner was caught and on his statement, the murder weapon has been recovered from his vehicle.

4. Other materials have also come apart from the self inculpatory statement of the petitioner that he has killed the deceased in a planned manner after taking the deceased away. The petitioner is in jail since 24.05.2024.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is dismissed.

4. The charges have been framed and the trial has started. This Court finds no ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

5. If the prosecution delays the trial, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

