

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91950 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2025 Thana- MEDNI CHAUKI District- Lakhisarai

Parshuram Kumar, S/O Suresh Yadav, R/O Village- Abgil Husaina, Thana,
P.S- Medni Chowki, Dist.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Yadav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Mednichowki P.S. Case No. 101 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 309(3) and 309(4) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner is a tempo driver. The informant boarded in the tempo and it is alleged that petitioner took the tempo on a secluded place, snatched the mobile, gold ring and Rs. 12,000/- cash from the informant. It is also alleged that petitioner has assaulted the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the fact is that the informant whose granny's house is in the village of this petitioner used to travel on his tempo but she did not pay any penny to the petitioner and when the petitioner demanded his arrear, this case has been filed with a view of harass him. It has also been submitted that though, a seizure list is annexed with the F.I.R. but from perusal of the seizure list it is clear that the witnesses of the seizure list are police personnel and it does not contain the signature of the petitioner whereas all the seizure is shown to be made from his possession. It has also been submitted that police has not followed Section 105 of the B.N.S.S. while making the seizure. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 15.07.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial
Magistrate 1st Class, Lakhisarai in connection with
Mednichowki P.S. Case No. 101 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

