

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2487 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-607 Year-2025 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

1. Munna Prasad @ Munni Mahto S/o Late Sahdeo Mahto R/o Village- Shamabad, PS- Bhagan Bigha, (Rahui), Dist- Nalanda
2. Vicky Kumar S/o Munna Prasad @ Munni Mahto R/o Village- Shamabad, PS- Bhagan Bigha, (Rahui), Dist- Nalanda
3. Ricky Kumar S/o Munna Prasad @ Munni Mahto R/o Village- Shamabad, PS- Bhagan Bigha, (Rahui), Dist- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Rahui P.S. Case No. 607 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 190, 191(1), 191(2), 109(1), 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 324(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution story, in short, is that on account of a verbal altercation, the named accused persons allegedly formed an unlawful assembly, came armed with deadly weapons, fired shots, assaulted the informant's family members causing serious



injuries, damaged property, looted cash of ₹10/- lakhs and ornaments from the informant's house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that the allegation against the petitioner no. 1 is general and omnibus in nature. He further submitted that the petitioner no. 2 is alleged to have fired but in the said occurrence no one sustained firearm injury. He further submitted that petitioner no. 3 is alleged to have assaulted the informant's son with iron rod and, as per the injury report, informant's son sustained grievous injuries. He further contended that there is case and counter-case between the parties. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 20.10.2025 and have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the *petitioner nos. 1 and 2* be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen



Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Rahui P.S. Case No. 607 of 2025.

8. So far as petitioner no. 3 is concerned, let the *petitioner no. 3* be released on bail, *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Rahui P.S. Case No. 607 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner no.3.

(II) The petitioner no. 3 shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(III) If the petitioner no. 3 tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

