

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91687 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2025 Thana- Hemjapur District- Munger

Shyam Saw @ Ram Kumar Son of Vijay Saw Resident of Village-Singhiya, P
S- Safiasarai District -Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 30(a) and 32 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of three cases under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 275 litres of liquor from a sack along with two bicycles. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of any of the seized bicycles and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone. It is next submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case



relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically either through chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information without holding a proper investigation.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Hemjapur P.S. Case No.76/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of three



cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall
be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

