

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.689 of 2026

=====

Baidhnath Yadav son of Shivcharan Yadav, Resident of Village- Gurwalia
Biswas, Ward No. 1, Block and P.S.- Chanpatiya, District- West Champaran
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Addl. Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Bihar, patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut at Muzaffarpur
3. The District Collector, West Champaran at Bettiah
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, West Champaran at Bettiah
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Bairiya, District- West Champaran
6. National Highways Authority of India through its Director, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amarendra Nath Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Advocate (10)
		Mr. Jitendra Kumar, AC to GA-10
For the NHAI	:	Dr. Iti Suman, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 04-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

*“for directing the respondents authorities
to make payment to the petitioner for the land sought
to be acquired by the Land Acquisition Officer, West
Champaran (R4) for the construction of the proposed
NH-730 project (From Sevarhi to U.P.) at the present
market price at which sale and purchase has been
taking place in the vicinity as evident from an
illustrative sale-deed (Annexure-P/3) and not at the*



rate arbitrarily indicated in the notice issued to the petitioner and his family-members in L.A. Case No.22 of 2023-24; for payment of solatium, interest@9% & an additional compensation to the petitioner for the incidental damages suffered due to the acquisition including the price of the properties standing on the land-in-question; and for all other relief/s the petitioner is found entitled to in the given facts & circumstances of the case at hand.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that they shall be filing a proper representation before the Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur in next four weeks.

4. This Court is of the opinion that if any petition is preferred, it will be taken to its logical conclusion by the respondent no. 2, Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur in accordance with law.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

