

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89833 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-317 Year-2008 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Sahdev Singh S/O Late Ramlalit Singh Resident of Village- Bharatpur, P.S.-
Mahua, Dist- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for

the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Mahua P.S. Case No. 317 of 2008 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 304(B), 201 read
with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that Babita
Kumari (deceased), the sister of the informant, was married to
one Raghuvir Singh, and at the time of marriage, sufficient
gifts were given. It is further alleged that the deceased was
subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry
demand. It has further been alleged that ultimately she was



killed and the dead body was cremated.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner is the brother-in-law of the deceased. The main thrust of the allegation is against the husband. He also submits that Amresh Kumar, one of the brothers-in-law, has been granted bail by the learned co-ordinate bench of this court passed in Cr. Misc. 81113 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 26.07.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District & Session Judge-IX, Vaishali at Hajipur in



connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 317 of 2008.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

