

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89661 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2025 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

Aminath Yadav, S/O Harihar Yadav, Resident of Village- Bhataha Laxmipur,
P.S- Sugauli, Distt.- East Champran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Suman Kumari Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Pravin Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 27-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 07 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 109, 303(2), 352 and 351(2) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that while the informant was sitting on her door with her husband meanwhile the petitioner along with 17 others arrived and it is alleged that this petitioner has given a *farsa* blow on the head of the informant's husband due to which he got unconscious.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that there is allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted with *farsa* on the head of the informant's husband but from perusal of the injury report it will transpire that the injury on head is swelling of head 2"x2". It has further been submitted that the injury and the allegation does not correlate. It has also been submitted that from perusal of the injury report of Vinod Yadav, the husband of the informant, it is clear that the doctor examining him and opined that the injuries are simple as per report of Sadar Hospital, Motihari caused by hard and blunt object. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 12.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial
Magistrate 1st Class, Motihari, East Champaran in connection
with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 07 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

