

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88351 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-178 Year-2025 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

Chandar Yadav S/O Late Jaleshwar Yadav Panchayat Dumri Jagir, Ward No.
01, Raghobpur, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-01-2026 Heard Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Raghobpur P.S. Case No. 178 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 13.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 324(4) and 303(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused persons including this petitioner armed with deadly weapon brutally assaulted the informant and his wife and brother due to which they received injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. There is case and counter case between the parties. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the informant by means of *farsa* due to which he received injury but the doctor has opined that the said injury is simple in nature.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the informant and apart from that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the injury received by the informant is simple in nature, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. Birpur in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 178 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other



following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

