

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91716 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2025 Thana- AURAI District- Muzaffarpur

Sita Devi W/o Jai Kishore Rai Resident of Village- Panapur, P.S.- Aurai,
District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Chandra Shekhar Anand, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 85, 182(2), 103(1), 238, 351(2), 351(3), 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his sister was solemnized with co-accused Pujan Kumar Yadav on 25.05.2015 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, committed torture and harassment with the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, all the accused persons committed murder of the victim.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because she happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioner is separate in mess and property and has got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against this petitioner. As a matter of fact, the deceased committed suicide. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody since 20.02.2026. Petitioner is a lady and claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Court No. 3, Muzaffarpur in connection with Aurai P.S. Case No. 03 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

