

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91530 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-188 Year-2025 Thana- KISHANPUR District- Supaul

Gautam Kumar S/o Narayan Yadav R/V Jharka, PS- Pipra, Dist- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prafull Chandra Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 13-01-2026 Heard Mr. Prafull Chandra Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 15.09.2025, in connection with Kishanpur P.S. Case No. 188 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 10.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115, 110, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused person demanded ransom Rs. 25,000/- from the informant then he returned the motorcycle to the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that although the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that



co-accused person has snatched Rs. 17,000/- and Rs. 2,000/- from the informant and in collusion with the petitioner he has demanded Rs. 25,000/- from the informant then he returned the motorcycle to the informant. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that co-accused person Mithilesh Kumar has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court today in Cr. Misc. No. 90453 of 2025 and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has demanded Rs. 25,000/- as ransom from the informant and apart from that the petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that he is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



ADJ-IV, Supaul in connection with Kishanpur P.S. Case No. 188 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

