

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89476 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-278 Year-2025 Thana- SHRIKRISHNAPURI District- Patna

Ajay Singh @ Ajay Kumar Singh, S/O Shri Lalan Singh, R/O Village- New
Sachiwalya Campus, Phulwari P.S. Sachiwalya, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Mukul Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Mohit Raj, Advocate
		Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Shri Krishnapuri P.S. Case No. 278 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 316(2), 319(2), 318(4), 336(2), 338 and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner who is running a tattoo shop, was in need of personal loan. Meanwhile, he met Hanuman and Aman and they introduced him to one Mrinal. It is further alleged that all the three persons took Rs. 5,000/- from him and introduced him to the Branch Manager of Magadh Mahila Branch of Indian Bank. His signature was taken on certain documents. On 29.09.2025



when he went to the Branch, he came to know that a loan of Rs. 8,70,000/- has been sanctioned in his favour and that amount is sent in the account of Karlo Automobile. When the informant went there, he came to know that a car was given to somebody-else.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. His name is not there in the F.I.R. During course of investigation, the said vehicle was recovered from the possession of this petitioner. It has further been submitted that as the petitioner has advanced loan of Rs. 3,40,000/- to Mrinal, Mrinal has handed over this vehicle to him in security. It has also been submitted that petitioner is not concerned in any way in the advancing of loan or getting the car from the agency rather the car was handed over to him by Mrinal who has taken loan from him and to substantiate the fact of loan. The photocopy of certain online transactions has been filed. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 28.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the



application for bail. The learned counsel for the informant has submitted that as the car was recovered from the petitioner, he is the responsible person.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patna in connection with Shri Krishnapuri P.S. Case No. 278 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

