

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.92041 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-444 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

1. Ranjeet Kumar Das @ Ranjeet Kumar @ Chando Das S/O Beni Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.
2. Rajballabh Das @ Rajballam Das @ Rajbalam Das @ Pardesi S/O Beni Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.
3. Satyendra Das @ Philip S/O Beni Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.
4. Sunita Devi W/O Ranjeet Kumar Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.
5. Suraj Kumar S/O Ranjeet Kumar Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.
6. Sumit Kumar S/O Ranjeet Kumar Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.
7. Sujeet Kumar S/O Ranjeet Kumar Das Resident Of Village- Gambhirpur, P.S-Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                            |                                 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s :     | Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s : | Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP |
| For the informant :        | Mr. Dineshwar Mishra, Advocate  |
|                            | Mr. Surendra Mishra, Advocate   |
|                            | Ms. Ruchi Arya, Advocate        |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      19-03-2026                      Heard Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners; Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh learned APP for the State and Mr. Dineshwar Mishra along with Mr. Surendra Mishra and Ms. Ruchi Arya, learned counsels for the informant.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection



with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 444/2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 49, 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused persons named therein including the petitioners with an intention to kill, assaulted the informant and his family members, causing injuries to them.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties and due to land dispute, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight in which, both the sides sustained injuries. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners, except petitioners no.1 and 5, who are said to have assaulted the informant and his brother, however, the injuries sustained by the informant and his brother are found to be simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Mr. Dineshwar Mishra, learned counsel, has tendered his appearance on behalf of the informant and has



vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Learned APP for the State also vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

7. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and the fact that there is case and counter case between the parties, arising out of land dispute, in which both the sides sustained injuries, however, the doctor has opined that the injuries sustained by the informant and his brother are simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance, attributable to the petitioners no.1 and 5 and against rest of the petitioners there is no specific allegation, rather the same is general and omnibus, I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

8. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.- IV, Nawada / Concerned Court in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 444/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section



482(2) of the BNSS.

9. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application and the supplementary affidavit, this order will automatically lose its force.

10. **However, it shall be open to the parties to arrive at a compromise in view of their long-standing dispute. The learned District Court is directed to issue notice to the informant and the petitioners and, thereafter, refer the matter to the learned Mediator of the District Mediation Centre for mediation between the parties, after fixing a date for their appearance.**

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

Sanjay/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

