

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91143 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-496 Year-2025 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR TOWN District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Satrudhan Sahni @ Shatrudhan Sahani S/O Ram Ayodhya Sahni Resident of
Village-Raghunathpur, Police Station- Raghunathpur, District-
EastChamparan at Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under section 309(4) of
B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant
started his journey for Muzaffarpur by Maurya Express at 7:50.
After getting down from train, the informant went to bus stand
where the driver of one four wheeler was calling out the
passenger for Sheohar and the said driver got him sit in the said
vehicle. The driver stopped the vehicle near the highway and
took his mobile, cash and his two ATM cards. It has been
mentioned in the written report that the two persons were



already in the said vehicle. After getting off from the highway, the informant came to Kushahar at his home by hiring an Auto then he came to know that Rs. 9500/- was withdrawn from there and about Rs. 70,000/- was withdrawn from the account of Gramin Bank, Kushahar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the informant himself has handed over his ATM to the petitioner for withdrawing the cash. As without the ATM pin, no cash could be withdrawn from the ATM and it is not the case of the informant that he was forced to disclose the ATM pin. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that though, the petitioner is criminal antecedent of four cases but those are not of similar nature. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 14.09.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Admittedly, it is not the case of the informant that he has for that he has disclosed the ATM pin or he was forced to disclose the ATM pin

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Muzaffarpur Town P.S. Case No. 496 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

