

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89301 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2024 Thana- CHENARI District- Rohtas

Saroj Sharma Son of Late Ram Awtar Sharma Resident of Village-
Narayanpur, P.S.- Chenari, District- Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 24-02-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Chenari P.S. Case no.118 of 2024 registered under sections 302, 498A and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that his daughter who was married to the petitioner was tortured for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and ultimately done to death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only for the reason that he happens to be the husband of the deceased. No material has transpired against the petitioner in course of investigation to substantiate the allegation of murder. In the



post-mortem report as the cause of death could not be ascertained, the viscera was preserved for examination. Even in the report of the Forensic Science Laboratory, no metallic, alkaloidal, glycosidal, pestical and volatile poison could be detected in the contents. The deceased died as a result of the illness. The petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. Chargesheet has been submitted in the case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State who submits that the petitioner happens to be the husband of the deceased. It is further submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of having murdered the daughter of the informant for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and the witnesses have supported the allegations levelled against the petitioner. Learned APP further submits that doctor found multiple bruise marks all over the body.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner being the husband of the deceased, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his



prayer for bail after completing one year in custody and on
framing of charge, whichever is later.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

