

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89575 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-292 Year-2025 Thana- LAHERIMUHALLA District- Nalanda

1. Goldy @ Md. Goldy S/O Haidar Mistry @ Mohd. Haidar R/O Mohalla - Gagan Diwan, (KhanKesh), P.S. - Laheri, District - Nalanda.
2. Md. Faizan S/O Haidar Mistry @ Mohd. Haidar R/O Mohalla - Gagan Diwan, (KhanKesh), P.S. - Laheri, District - Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 324(2), 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 has antecedent of one case and petitioner no.2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he is a Reserved Guard at Police Line and on 22.06.225 at 10.00 P.M., the informant along with his friend were returning to the police line when they saw 7-8 accused standing near a petrol pump, further, two accused intercepted them and started pulling



keys of the motorcycling in a drunken condition, on protest, other accused came and assaulted them with iron rod and iron knuckle on their head, leg and back causing injury, further, people gathered and video-graphed the occurrence, petitioners were identified in the video footage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature i.e. no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioners. It is next submitted that petitioners are in custody since 03.11.2025 and charge sheet has been submitted and charges have been framed. It is also submitted that if privilege of bail is granted to the petitioners, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is



pending/successor court in connection with Laheri P.S. Case No.292 of 2025.

7. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioners after their release are trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

