

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89483 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDI District- Nalanda

Satish Ram S/o Bhattu Ram R/o Village- Gokulpur (Kharjamma), P.S.-
Chandi, Distt- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 69, 74, 352, 351(2), 3(5),
61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that petitioner on pretext of marriage, kept establishing
physical relations, further on 05-05-2025 Sugan Prasad came to
her house and took her forcibly along with petitioner in a
vehicle and kept her in the house of Manju Devi and forcefully
established physical relations and snatched her mobile and
jewellery and even refused to marry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the relationship was between two consenting adult. It is next submitted that Manju Devi is sister of the petitioner and it does not appear probable that petitioner would have brought the informant to the house of his sister with an intent to commit physical assault. It is also submitted that whenever such relationship sours, false allegation alleging rape is alleged. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 08.05.2025 and charge sheet has been submitted and in the event if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 225 of 2025.



7. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

