

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91455 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-958 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Gaya

Mahendra Yadav S/O Meghan Yadav Resident of Village- Jhanakpur, P.S.-  
Mohanpur, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kanhiya Kishor, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-01-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 32(3) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 18.75 litres of liquor from a motorcycle. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of seized motorcycle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus, would create evidence against himself and hence, would



get implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that his son, Manoj Kumar would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who was also apprehended from the spot along with Nitish Kumar.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bond in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No.3, Gaya in connection with Gaya Excise P.S. Case No.958 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. The application stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining



anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

*Sanjay/-*

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

