

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91469 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-571 Year-2022 Thana- UDWANTNAGAR District- Bhojpur

Bachu Kumar @ Bachchu Kumar S/o Dharmraj Singh R/o Village -
Ghorpokhar, P.S - Udwanthnagar, District - Bhojpur at Ara

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehend his arrest in connection with Udwanthnagar P.S. Case No. 571 of 2022, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 406 and 409 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, as per the informant, that one Rabin Kumar, Panchayat Sachiv, Gram Panchayat-Bakari, P/S- Udwanthnagar, District-Bhojpur, submitted a letter no. 05 dated 05.12.2022 to SHO Udwanthnagar Police Station alleging therein, that the Lok Sikayat Niwaran Padadhikari-Cum-First Appellate Pradhikar, Bhojpur, Ara has ordered to lodge FIR against accused persons including petitioner for the fact that they have received Rs. 11,00,000/- for



the project work while they have only done work worth Rs. 6,71,930/- and thereby defalcated Rs. 4,28,070/- from public money.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent. The entire prosecution story is false, fabricated and concocted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the allegation levelled in the FIR is serious in nature but no details have been given as to how the defalcation has been done by the petitioner. It is further submitted that the allegation in the FIR is vague without disclosing as to what role has been played by the petitioner in the alleged defalcation of public money. It is next submitted that the modus operandi adopted by the petitioner has not been discussed and disclosed in the FIR.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the fact that although the allegation levelled in the FIR is serious in nature but no details have been given as to how the defalcation has been done by the petitioner. It is further submitted that the allegation in the FIR is vague without disclosing as to what role has been played by the petitioner in the alleged defalcation of public money. The modus



operandi adopted by the petitioner has not been discussed and disclosed in the FIR. Under these circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrare, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Udwantnagar P.S. Case No. 571 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

