

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91756 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2025 Thana- MARANCHI District- Patna

Ram Babu Kumar S/O Arjun Sah @ Arun Sah R/O Village- Baghopur, P.S.-
Rosara, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Choudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Braj Kishore Pd., APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Maranchi P.S. Case No. 94 of 2025 instituted for the offences
punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that total 119.25
litres of liquor was recovered from auto rickshaw.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No
incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted
that petitioner is not the owner of the vehicle. It is further



submitted that petitioner is the driver of the vehicle in question but he had no knowledge regarding the goods loaded in the vehicle. The petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Maranchi P.S. Case No. 94 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the



witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(IV) If the petitioner is found involved in the similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

