

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88285 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

Neeraj Yadav @ Neeraj Kumar, S/O Lalbabu Yadav @ Lalbabu Ray, R/O
Village- Gopalbari, P.S- Mashrakh, Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mahashweta Sinha, Inspector-cum-SHO Mahila, P.S- Saran Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nalin Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No.74 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 79, 111, 141, 143, 145, 98, 296 and 3(5) of B.N.S., Sections 13 and 14 of POCSO Act, Section 79 of J.J. Act, Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 of Immoral Trafficking Act and Section 16 of the Bounded Labour System Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that a raid was made at the residence of certain orchestra owners and from their possession, girls were recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He is not the orchestra owner. Only allegation against the petitioner and others is that they forced the girls to dance wearing small cloths. From perusal of the order of the learned trial Court, it is clear that six recovered girls have given their statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein they have stated that they were working in an orchestra. Nothing else has been stated by them. Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. It has also been submitted that similarly situated co-accused Shubham Kumar has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 19.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 76339 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. He is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 15.08.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Exclusive Special Additional Sessions Judge (POCSO), Saran,
Chapra in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 74 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

