

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89858 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2025 Thana- BADDI District- Rohtas

Vijay Bhagat @ Vijay Shankar Mali S/O Baliram Mali @ Baliram Bhagat @
Baliram Mahto R/O Village- Alampur, P.S- Baddi, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 13-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Baddi
P.S. Case No. 18 of 2025, instituted for the offences under
Section 80 of the BNS and Section 37 of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that petitioner
allegedly killed his wife in drunken condition due to non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He
further submitted that petitioner is the husband of the deceased.
The prosecution has not disclosed any specific demand of
dowry. The entire prosecution case is based on suspicion



because the petitioner had come to the Police Station in drunken condition, therefore, Police and informant have presumed that the petitioner has killed his wife (deceased). The petitioner confessed his guilt before the Police, which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 06.02.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Specific allegation of pressing the neck of deceased, in drunken condition, is against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of four months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit



without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

