

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89829 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-340 Year-2017 Thana- MINAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Dilip Sahani @ Nitesh Ji @ Dilip Kumar Sahani S/o- Late Shivrindan Sahni
Village- Kajipur Thathan PS- Hajipur Sadar District- Vaishli

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vasant Vikas, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER**

2 15-01-2026 Heard Mr. Vasant Vikas, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Binod Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Meenapur P.S. Case No. 340 of 2017 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 121, 121(a), 120(b) of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 25(1-B)(a), 25(1-AA), 25(1-AAA), 26, 35 of the Arms Act, Sections 3, 4 and 5 of the Explosive Act and Sections 16, 18, 18(a), 18(b), 19 and 20 of the Unlawful (Activities) Prevention Act (U.A.P. Act).

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that four live detonators were recovered from the possession of certain persons and some other articles from rest other accused persons.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that four persons were apprehended by the police and explosive were recovered from their possession. They have disclosed the name of this petitioner and others. It has also been submitted that those apprehended persons have already been granted bail by the learned co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3812 of 2018, Cr. Misc. No. 11282 of 2018, Cr. Misc. No. 48772 of 2018 and Cr. Misc. No. 27552 of 2020. The case of this petitioner stands of better footing. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 06.03.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of seven cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the stage of trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed in the learned trial court, till the disposal of the case.** The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only)



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur in connection with UAP Case No. 01 of 2024 arising out of Meenapur P.S. Case No. 340 of 2017.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

